UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 17-553CRB
Plaintiff, v. Ghion Burrate Alemu	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant.	JAN 242018
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from, 2018 to, 2018 to, by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from, 2018 to, 2018 to	319, 2018 and finds that the ends of justice served bublic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proor law, that it is unreasonable to expect	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of osecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would caking into account the exercise of due	leny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would u counsel's other scheduled case commi See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 1-24-18	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney